

## Impact of Sitagliptin on Heart Failure and Related Outcomes

Paul W. Armstrong MD University of Alberta Frans Van de Werf, MD, PhD University of Leuven, Belgium

## **DECLARATION OF INTEREST**

- Research contracts
- Consulting/Royalties/Owner/ Stockholder of a healthcare company



#esccongress

www.escardio.org/ESC2015

### Paul W Armstrong Disclosures

#### Research grants :

Boehringer Ingelheim, Merck, Bayer, CSL

• Educational Grants: Bayer, Astra Zeneca, Merck, Servier, Novartis

#### Consulting: Boehringer Ingelheim, Merck, AstraZeneca, Eli Lilly

#### Full Details:

http://www.vigour.ualberta.ca/en/About/~/media/vigour/Publication %20Resources/COI2015/Financial\_Disclosure\_form\_PWA\_May\_2 015.pdf



## **TECOS Study**

- Large, pragmatic international trial designed to assess the impact of sitagliptin versus placebo on cardiovascular event rates
  - When added to usual diabetes care
  - Minimize difference in glycemia between groups
- Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled
- 14,671 patients with T2DM and established CVD: median F/up 3yrs
- Academically led in collaboration with industry sponsorship



## **Objectives of TECOS**

#### **Primary:**

To demonstrate that the risk of cardiovascular events (CV death, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, hospitalization for unstable angina) in patients treated with sitagliptin in addition to usual care was **non-inferior** to that in patients treated without sitagliptin in addition to usual care

#### **Prespecified secondary:**

To analyze effects on hospitalization for heart failure and related outcomes



#### Primary Composite Cardiovascular Outcome\* Per Protocol Analysis for Noninferiority





\* CV death, nonfatal MI, nonfatal stroke, hospitalization for unstable angina

## **Background: Diabetes & Heart Failure**

- Diabetes is associated with an increased risk of heart failure(HF) similar to that seen with MI and stroke
- Incidence increases with age *but* relative risk actually higher in younger patients
- In patients with atherosclerosis type 2 DM is known to increase the risk of hospitalization for heart failure by ~30%
- Mortality from HF in diabetics is ~ double that of non-diabetics
- Diabetes in heart failure is "frequent, forgotten & often fatal" (Bell)
- Anti-diabetic therapy (insulin, thiazolidinediones: pioglitazone & rosiglitazone, some dipeptidyl peptidase-4 (DPPP-4) inhibitors) have been associated with an increased incidence of HF



### **Impact of Sitagliptin on Heart Failure Hospitalization**

- Observations from 2 recent large trials suggest an increased risk for hospitalization for heart failure with DPP4 inhibitors:
  - saxagliptin in SAVOR-TIMI 53 (n=16,492)
  - alogliptin in EXAMINE (n= 5380)
- We evaluated -according to a prespecified analysis plan- the potential impact of sitagliptin on HF hospitalization in the overall TECOS population and in key relevant subgroups



#### **Baseline Characteristics** According to HF Hospitalization (1)

Characteristic	With hHF n=457	Without hHF n=14,214
Age, yrs (SD)	68.5 (7.6)	65.4 (8.0)
Women, %	25.2	29.4
Duration of diabetes, yrs (SD)	12.3 (8.7)	11.6 (8.1)
HbA <sub>1c</sub> , % (SD)	7.3 (0.5)	7.2 (0.5)
eGFR, mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> (SD)	66.5 (20.9)	75.2 (21.1)
Prior vascular disease, %		
Coronary artery disease	85.3	73.7
Cerebrovascular disease	29.1	24.3
Peripheral artery disease	17.3	16.6
Prior myocardial infarction	58.2	42.1
Prior heart failure, %	41.8	17.3



#### **Baseline Characteristics** *According to HF Hospitalization (*2)

Characteristic	With hHF n=457	Without hHF n=14,214
Antihyperglycemic therapies, %		
Metformin	72.2	81.9
Sulfonylurea	46.2	45.3
Pioglitazone	2.8	2.7
Insulin	32.4	22.9
Cardiovascular medications, %		
Statin	83.6	79.8
Aspirin	74.6	78.6
Non-aspirin anti-platelet agent	21.7	21.7
ACE inhibitor/angiotensin receptor blocker	85.6	78.5
Beta blocker	71.8	63.3
Diuretic	69.1	40.1
Calcium channel blocker	39.2	33.6



## **Time to First Hospitalization for Heart Failure\***





\* ITT population

# Hospitalization for Heart Failure

Subgroup	Sitagliptin (n/N)	Placebo (n/N)		Interaction P-Value
Overall	228 / 7,332	229 / 7,339	<b>_</b>	
<b>Age</b> ≤median >median	76 / 3,676 148 / 3,498	74 / 3,640 153 / 3,537		0.918
<b>Sex</b> Male Female	171 / 5,198 57 / 2,134	171 / 5,176 58 / 2,163	<u> </u>	0.994
<b>Body mass index</b> ≤median >median	99 / 3,668 126 / 3,596	90 / 3,597 133 / 3,673		0.554
<b>Diabetes duration</b> ≤median >median	119 / 3,813 107 / 3,514	108 / 3,895 121 / 3,437		0.190
HbA1c subgroups ≤median >median	117 / 3,776 110 / 3,549	119 / 3,830 110 / 3,501	-	0.947
<b>Insulin</b> Yes No	68 / 1,724 160 / 5,608	80 / 1,684 149 / 5,655		0.158
Renal function subgroups eGFR≥30–<60 mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> eGFR≥60–<90 mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup> eGFR≥90 mL/min/1.73m <sup>2</sup>	91 / 1,666 109 / 3,943 25 / 1,644	84 / 1,655 105 / 3,936 37 / 1,681		0.356
Prior coronary artery disease Yes No	191 / 5,397 37 / 1,935	199 / 5,466 30 / 1,873	-	0.446
<b>Prior heart failure</b> Yes No	97 / 1,303 131 / 6,029	94 / 1,340 135 / 5,999		0.666
Heart failure severity NYHA Class I NYHA Class>I NYHA not reported None	34 / 285 105 / 809 44 / 209 355 / 6,029	31 / 250 128 / 876 32 / 214 334 / 5,999		0.177
		 0.0 Favors \$	) 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0 2.5 : Sitagliptin Favors Placebo	3.0 3.5 4.0

\* ITT Population

#### Heart Failure-related Outcomes: Sitagliptin vs Placebo\* (1)

	Sitagliptin n=7332	<b>Placebo</b> n=7339	HR (95% CI)	P-value
Hospitalization for heart failure	228 (3.1%)	229 (3.1%)	1.00 (0.84–1.20)	0.95
Adjusted for baseline heart failure	-	-	1.00 (0.83–1.20)	0.98
Multivariable adjusted*	-	-	1.02 (0.83–1.26)	0.82
Hospitalization for heart failure or cardiovascular death	538 (7.3%)	525 (7.2%)	1.02 (0.90–1.14)	0.81
Adjusted for baseline heart failure	-	-	1.02 (0.90–1.15)	0.74
Hospitalization for heart failure or all-cause death	685 (9.3%)	682 (9.3%)	1.00 (0.90–1.11)	0.93

\*Adjusted for: ethnicity, race, history of MI, history of coronary artery disease, history of coronary artery bypass graft surgery, history of peripheral arterial disease, history of heart failure, smoking status, diuretic use, age, BMI, systolic blood pressure, diastolic blood pressure, estimated glomerular filtration rate, glycosylated hemoglobin, HDL-cholesterol, and triglycerides.

\*ITT population

#### Heart Failure-related Outcomes:Sitagliptin vs Placebo\* (2)

	Sitagliptin n=7332	<b>Placebo</b> n=7339	HR (95% CI)	P-value
Total hospitalization for heart failure events (first + recurrent) <sup>#</sup>	345	347	1.00 (0.80–1.25)	0.996
Patients with 2 events	37	44	-	-
Patients with ≥3 events	26	25	-	-
Mortality among patients with at least 1 hospitalization for heart failure				
Cardiovascular mortality	51/228 (22.4%)	53/229 (23.1%)	-	-
All-cause mortality	68/228 (29.8%)	66/229 (28.8%)	-	-

#Analyzed by the Andersen-Gill method \*ITT population



Overall TECOS CV mortality 4.1% All cause 7.4%

#### Heart Failure-related Outcomes:Sitagliptin vs Placebo Patients with Prior Heart Failure at Baseline

	Sitagliptin n=1303	<b>Placebo</b> n=1340	HR (95% CI)	P-value
Hospitalization for heart failure	97 (7.4%)	94 (7.0%)	1.03 (0.77–1.36)	0.86
Cardiovascular death	120 (9.2%)	133 (9.9%)	0.91 (0.71–1.17)	0.46
Hospitalization for heart failure or cardiovascular death	183 (14.0%)	191 (14.3%)	0.96 (0.79–1.18)	0.71
All-cause death	166 (12.7%)	182 (13.6%)	0.92 (0.75–1.14)	0.46



### **SAVOR-TIMI 53, EXAMINE, and TECOS\*:** Hospitalization for Heart Failure



OUTCOMES WITH SITAGLIPTI

\* Unadjusted

## Conclusions

- In this comprehensive analysis of TECOS we found no increased risk of heart failure or related adverse outcomes after Sitagliptin therapy
- Potential reasons for the different findings versus SAVOR-TIMI 53 and EXAMINE:
  - Differences in patients enrolled
  - Differences in background care provided
  - Variation in acquisition/definition of HF events among trials
  - Intrinsic pharmacologic differences among the DPP4 inhibitors
  - Play of chance
- Sitagliptin can be safely used in type 2 DM patients without concern for worsening heart failure



## **Acknowledgements**

## Manuscript: No Impact of Sitagliptin on Heart Failure Hospitalization and Related Outcomes in Type 2 Diabetes

Darren McGuire, Frans Van de Werf, Paul Armstrong, Eberhard Standl, Joerg Koglin, Jennifer Green, Angelyn Bethel, Jan Cornel, Renato Lopes, Sigrun Halvorsen, Giuseppe Ambrosio, John Buse, Robert Josse, John Lachin, Michael Pencina, Jyotsna Garg, Yuliya Lokhnygina, Rury Holman and Eric Peterson, on behalf of the TECOS Study Group

#### **TECOS Executive Committee**

Rury Holman, *Joint Chair* Eric Peterson, *Joint Chair* Paul Armstrong John Buse Robert Josse Keith Kaufman Joerg Koglin Scott Korn John Lachin Darren McGuire Eberhard Standl Peter Stein Shailaja Suryawanshi Frans Van de Werf



TECOS Data and Safety Monitoring Board Marc Pfeffer, Chair

Stuart Pocock

John McMurray Hertzel Gerstein

Leif Groop

Independent Statistician Tim Clayton





